

By definition of f_z and The. 14.5.4 we have

$$(*) \quad f = f_{\lambda \pm i0} + \nu R_0(\lambda \pm i0) f_{\lambda \pm i0}$$

Recall the formula (14.3.15)

$$(1) \quad \pm \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{M_\lambda} |\hat{f}|^2 \frac{ds}{|p'_0|} = 2 \operatorname{Im} (R_0(\lambda \pm i0) f, f)$$

By (*) $R(\lambda \pm i0) f = R_0(\lambda \pm i0) f_{\lambda \pm i0}$ and thus

$$(2) \quad 2 \operatorname{Im} (R(\lambda \pm i0) f, f) = 2 \operatorname{Im} (R_0(\lambda \pm i0) f_{\lambda \pm i0}, f_{\lambda \pm i0})$$

since $R^*(z) = R(\bar{z})$ and $R_0^*(z) = R_0(\bar{z})$.

Thus by (1) and (2)

$$(3) \quad 2 \operatorname{Im} (R_0(\lambda \pm i0) f, f) = \pm \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{M_\lambda} |\hat{f}_{\lambda \pm i0}|^2 \frac{ds}{|p'_0|}$$

and hence by (14.6.1)

$$\int \chi(\lambda) (dE_\lambda f, f) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{R \setminus \tilde{\Lambda}} \int_{M_\lambda} |\hat{f}_{\lambda \pm i0}|^2 \frac{ds}{|p'_0|} d\lambda$$

□

Corollary

$$(**) \quad \int_{R \setminus \tilde{\Lambda}} (dE_\lambda f, f) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{R \setminus \tilde{\Lambda}} \int_{M_\lambda} |\hat{f}_{\lambda \pm i0}|^2 \frac{ds}{|p'_0|} d\lambda$$

Proof $\exists \chi_n$, resp $\chi_n \in R \setminus \tilde{\Lambda}$ s.t.

$\chi_n \rightarrow \chi_{R \setminus \tilde{\Lambda}}$. The claim follows from monot. conv.

Note that $\hat{f}_{\lambda \in i_0}(\xi)$ is ^{only} defined almost everywhere on M_λ . We hence need

14.6.2 Lemma For $f \in B$, there exist measurable

$$F_{\pm} f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$F_{+} f(\xi) = \hat{f}_{\lambda \in i_0}(\xi), \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in M_\lambda$$

The functions $F_{\pm} f$ are uniquely defined a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof We recall that

$$1) \quad |P_0^{-1} \tilde{\Lambda}| = 0.$$

If $F_1 f$ and $F_2 f$ would be two functions of the lemma and $g = F_1 f - F_2 f$, we would have

$$g(\xi) = F_1 f(\xi) - F_2 f(\xi) = \hat{f}_{\lambda \in i_0}(\xi) - \hat{f}_{\lambda \in i_0}(\xi) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Lambda} \text{ and } \xi \in M_\lambda$$

Thus $g(\xi) \neq 0 \Rightarrow P_0(\xi) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$

implying $|\{\xi \mid g(\xi) \neq 0\}| = 0$. This proves the uniqueness.

Now assume $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Lambda}$ and choose $g_\lambda^f \in \mathcal{F}(C_0^\infty)$

with $\|g_\lambda^f - \hat{f}_{\lambda \in i_0}\|_B < 2^{-\lambda}$

Note $\mathcal{F}(C_0^\infty)$ is dense in B !

Since $f_{\lambda+i0}$ is cont. w.r.t. λ we can (after some manipulations) write $\frac{d}{d\lambda}$ out w.r.t. λ also. From (2) it follows

$$(3) \quad \int_{M_\lambda} |\hat{f}_{\lambda+i0}(\xi) - \hat{g}_\lambda^j(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C(\lambda) 2^{-j}$$

where $C(\lambda)$ is cont. in $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Lambda}$.

Assume $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus P_0^{-1}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ is compact. The

$$\int_K |\hat{g}_{P_0(\xi)}^{j+1}(\xi) - \hat{g}_{P_0(\xi)}^j(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C_K 2^{-j}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists F_+ f(\xi) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \hat{g}_{P_0(\xi)}^j(\xi) \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in K$$

(Define $F_+ f(\xi) = 0$ if ξ is a lim. on $\lambda \in \tilde{\Lambda}$)

Note that $P_0(\xi)$ is a local coordinate.

Clearly, F_+ is measurable, $F_+ f \in L^2(K)$

$\forall K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus P_0^{-1}(\tilde{\Lambda})$ compact. Moreover

$$\text{by (3)} \quad \hat{f}_{\lambda+i0}(\xi) = F_+ f(\xi) \quad \text{for } \xi \in M_\lambda \quad \text{a.e.}$$

14.6.3 Definition For $f \in B$ the functions

$$F_\pm f(\xi) = F(1 + \nu R_0(\lambda \pm i0))^{-1} f(\xi)$$

defined a.e. in M_λ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

are called the distorted Fourier-transform of f .

Note that

$$F_{\pm} f|_{M_{\lambda}} \in L^2(M_{\lambda}), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will use the notation

$$(14.6.4) \quad E^d = \int_{\tilde{\Lambda}} dE_{\lambda}, \quad E^c = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Lambda}} dE_{\lambda}$$

Since $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is countable

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_j\}$$

and by denoting $E_j = E_{\lambda_j}$ we have

$$E^d = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{\lambda_j} \quad ; \quad E_{\lambda_j} u = \int_{\lambda_j} dE_{\lambda} u$$

and $u \in E_{\lambda_j} L^2 \Rightarrow E_{\lambda_j} u = u$

$$\begin{aligned}
Hu &= \int \lambda dE_{\lambda} E_{\lambda_j} u = \int_{\tilde{\Lambda}} \lambda dE_{\lambda} E_{\lambda_j} u \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Lambda}} \lambda dE_{\lambda} E_{\lambda_j} u = \sum_{\lambda \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \lambda_{\lambda} E_{\lambda_{\lambda}} E_{\lambda_j} u \\
&\quad \neq 0 \\
&= \lambda_j u.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus u is eigenvalue of H and

$E^d L^2$ is spanned by L^2 -eigenvalues of H .

Denote $H^c := H|_{E^c L^2} : \underbrace{E^c L^2}_{= L^2} \rightarrow E^c L^2$ and

$$H^d := H : \underbrace{E^d L^2}_{= L^2} \rightarrow E^d L^2$$

By Corollary 14.5.6 the point spectrum of H^c is empty $\Rightarrow H^c$ only has cont. spectrum

Next we show that the spectrum of H^c is abs. cont.

14.6.4 Theorem $\forall f \in B,$

$$(14.6.5) \quad \|E^c f\|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int |\hat{F}_\pm f|^2 d\xi \quad \text{and}$$

F_\pm has extension $F_\pm : L^2(dx) \rightarrow L^2\left(\frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^n}\right)$

by defining $F_\pm|_{E^d L^2} \equiv 0$. F_\pm intertwine H with pointwise multiplication $\dot{P}_0 := F H_0 F^{-1}$

$$(14.6.6) \quad F_\pm e^{itH} = e^{it\dot{P}_0} F_\pm, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Proof For clarity, \dot{P}_0 is the operator

$$(\dot{P}_0 u)(\xi) = P_0(\xi) u(\xi)$$

First, (14.6.5) is the limit $\chi \nearrow 1$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ of (14.6.1) as

Next we show

$$(14.6.7) \quad F_\pm H f = \dot{P}_0 F_\pm f, \quad f \in S.$$