

# MCMC I

# Markov Chain Monte Carlo Simulation

- Use random numbers to do complex calculations.
- MCMC is NOT medieval mathematics.

# High Dimensional Integration

- In Bayesian statistics need to evaluate the integral of the joint probability distribution over all but one of its parameters.
- These integrals can be of very high dimension, say 1000 or 10000 or 100000.
- Numerical integrations requires at least two function evaluations for each dimension –  $2^{1000}$  is a big number!

# Monte Carlo Simulation

- If we can generate a sample from the joint probability distribution we can use this sample to approximate the Bayesian integrals.
- For example the mean of  $\theta_1$

$$\text{Integral } d\theta_1 d\theta_{-1} \theta_1 P(\theta_1, \theta_{-1}, Y) \sim \sum \theta_1^i$$

Equally easy to estimate the mean of  $\theta_1^2$  or  $\theta_1\theta_2$

- In general can not sample directly from joint probability distribution.

# Iterative Simulation

- Generate a sequence of random numbers which converges to a dependent sample from the joint probability distributions.
- Use this dependent sample to approximate the Bayesian integrals.
- Two drawbacks: some computational effort spent reaching convergence, dependent sample contains less information than independent sample.

# Markov Chain Simulation

- Construct a markov chain of random numbers. That is the random numbers generated only depend on the current state of the chain.
- In certain cases this chain of random numbers will converge to a dependent sample from the joint probability distribution.

# The Gibbs Sampler

- For each stochastic variable in the Bayesian model replace its value with a random number sampled from the full conditional distribution.
- Repeat procedure many times.
- After some iterations use the sample of random numbers for inference.

# Gibbs Sampler continued

- Easy algorithm to think about.
- Exploits the factorisation properties of the joint probability distribution.
- No difficult choices to be made to tune algorithm.
- Can be difficult (impossible) to sample from the full conditional distributions.

# Metropolis Hastings Sampler

- For each stochastic variable  $\theta$  in the Bayesian model associate a proposal distribution  $\pi(x, \theta)$ . Draw a sample  $\theta_1$  from  $\pi$  and replace the value of  $\theta$  with  $\theta_1$  if
$$\alpha = \min(P(\theta_1)\pi(\theta, \theta_1) / P(\theta)\pi(\theta_1, \theta), 1)$$
is greater than  $U(0,1)$  where  $P(\theta)$  is the full conditional for  $\theta$ .  
 $\alpha$  is called the acceptance ratio.

# The proposal distribution $\pi(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$

- The  $\pi$  is (can be) different for each variable.
- The  $\pi$  need not depend on  $\theta$ . Can have  $\pi(\mathbf{x}, \theta) = \pi(\mathbf{x})$  this is called an independence sampler.
- If we choose  $\pi(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$  to be  $P(\mathbf{x})$  this independence sampler is the Gibbs Sampler.
- If  $\pi$  is chosen such that  $\pi(\mathbf{x}, \theta) = \pi(\theta, \mathbf{x})$  then acceptance ratio simplifies ( $\pi$  cancels out).

## $\pi(x, \theta)$ continued

- A common choice for  $\pi(x, \theta)$  is  $\pi(|x - \theta|)$   
this is called a random walk sampler.

# Problems with Metropolis Hastings Sampling

- How should the proposal distribution be chosen?
- If the proposal distribution depends on some parameters how should these parameters be chosen? For example if  $\pi(x, \theta) = \text{Normal}(x|\theta, \tau)$  what value should  $\tau$  have.

# Slice Sampling

- A new sampling algorithm due to Radford Neal.
- Evaluate the full conditional distribution at the current point  $\theta$  and multiply it by a standard uniform (ie  $P(\theta)U(0,1)$ ). Calculate the two values  $x_1, x_2$  such that

$$P(x_i) = P(\theta)U(0,1) \quad I = 1, 2$$

Replace  $\theta$  by a sample from  $U(x_1, x_2)$ .